



Developmental brain imaging of human cognition

Zeynep M. Saygin saygin.3@osu.edu

- How much of the human mind is determined at birth?
- How do we gain new skills that are uniquely human and is there a limit to our potential based on what we are born with?







Face perception





Object perception





Scene perception





VWFA (reading)







Domain-general (Executive control)





Does this organization already exist at birth?

Yes

- Some regions are functional from birth (evolutionary pressure)
- Other regions are predisposed for their function because of connectivity



Neuroimaging

Computational Modeling



Predictions of future outcome in each child

Importance

Understanding how early anatomy influences later mental function is important because:

- Answers fundamental questions
 - How did I become the person I am today?
 - What makes me unique?
 - What makes me human?



Importance

Understanding how early anatomy influences later mental function is important because:

- Answers fundamental questions
- Informs cognitive science more broadly (understanding the brain is understanding the mind)
 - Which neural mechanisms are online early?
 - Which mental representations are distinct?



Importance

Understanding how early anatomy influences later mental function is important because:

- Answers fundamental questions
- Informs cognitive science more broadly
- Informs education research
 - Predicting our ability to learn new skills allows early practice, targeted teaching



- Predicting later behavior allows early diagnosis & intervention











MRI (fMRI, DWI) Cross-sectional & longitudinal Behavioral assessments Computational modeling

- Is neural machinery for language distinct from other thought in early childhood?
- How do new cultural inventions (written language) arise?
 - Can connectivity predict future location of the visual word form area (VWFA) in children & newborns?
 - Can connectivity predict future reading difficulty?



• Is neural machinery for language distinct from other thought in early childhood?



Regions for High-Level Language Processing

Brain regions that respond to language can be localized like this:

Contrast two conditions: sentences vs non-words



Regions for High-Level Language Processing

Brain regions that respond to language can be localized like this:

Contrast two conditions: sentences vs. non-words



DAP DRELLO SMOP UB PLID KAV CRE REPLODE



Atlas of >800 subjects

- See the same frontotemporal regions across experiments
- Left-lateralized
- Location is variable across subjects

Fedorenko et al. (2010), J. Neurophys. Lipkin et al. 2022

Regions for High-Level Language Processing



- All languages seem to activate the same cortex
 - Even sign language
- Lateralized
- Doesn't matter if stimuli are presented visually/auditorily (amodal)
- Support for pre-programmed neural basis of language

Ayyash et al. 2021

When does language cortex develop and specialize?

- Perceptual learning starts in utero:
 - By the third trimester, fetuses can hear
 - Newborns prefer mom's voice & language over others
- Initially, babies can distinguish among non-native phonemes e.g. babies in Japan can hear a /ra/ vs /la/ difference
- Between 6-12 months, infants TUNE to native language
 - KEEP sensitivity to their native phonemes
 - LOSE sensitivity to non-native phonemes
- Yet, language skills are underdeveloped even by age 5

Do young children have specialized language cortices like adults?





Is language cortex already specialized in kids?

Passive language fMRI task in 2-9 year olds (34 kids, 14 longitudinal)

• Listen to spoken <u>sentences</u> vs. <u>nonword sentences</u> (control)



Kelly Hiersche



Fedorenko et al. (2010), J. Neurophys

• Capturing individual variability: use precision fMRI to define each child's network based on how their own brain responds

Is language cortex already specialized in kids?



Kelly Hiersche



Hiersche et al. (in review)



4 year old

By age 2, children have specialized, leftlateralized language regions just like adults.

Are these regions *specific* for language or are they engaged in other high-level processing as well?



Executive control

- Discovery that many abilities positively correlate
- Perhaps a general factor (g) or fluid intelligence contributes to success on any task
 - Central executive (or executive control)
 - Involves working memory, cognitive shifting, inhibitory control



Language cortex is distinct from MD cortex in adults



Sample MD fROI (infterior frontal gyrus, opercular)





Fedorenko et al. 2011, 2013 PNAS; Fedorenko et al. 2012 Curr Biol; review: Fedorenko & Blank 2020 TiCS



 Language is hard. Does neural specialization for language develop from domain-general cortex that facilitates general learning?





 Does neural specialization for language develop from domain-general cortex?



MD task in children

Spatial working memory task

Hard vs. Easy condition

• 2 squares lit up at a time vs 1 square





Kelly Hiersche



Elana Schettini



Hiersche et al. e-Life (in review)



Left Language Right MD Angular Gyrus Midfront SUPTEMP Orb Inf Front POSTTEMP Interont

Hiersche et al.(in review)

Do MD regions respond to language?



MD regions do not respond to language



Schettini et al. (in review)

Longitudinal data





Kelly Hiersche

Hiersche et al. (in review)

Connections of language regions to other language regions

Language and MD networks communicate with themselves not with each other



Connections of language regions to MD regions

- Is neural machinery for language distinct from other thought in early childhood?
 - Language cortex is online and SPECIFICALLY tuned for linguistic content very early on in life
 - Mechanisms that help boost cognitive control as children get older are independent of "domainspecific" language cortex



- Is neural machinery for language distinct from other thought in early childhood?
- How do new cultural inventions (written language) arise?
 - Can connectivity predict future location of the visual word form area (VWFA) in children & newborns?



Visual word form area (VWFA)

- Dedicated brain region for orthography
- Roughly same location in every individual



Baker et al. 2007







Visual word form area (VWFA)

- Dedicated brain region for orthography
- Roughly same location in every individual
- Reading is a recent cultural invention (~3000 BC)
- Neural specialization could not have arisen through natural selection
- Why does it show up there?
 - Specialized connectivity patterns may determine the location of functionally-selective brain areas
 - VWFA offers a great test of this hypothesis because it does not exist before reading acquisition

Connectivity before & after reading acquisition



Can early connectivity predict later location of the visual word form area (VWFA) in children?





Saygin, Osher et al. 2011 Nature Neurosci; Osher et al. 2015 Cerebral Cortex

Can early connectivity predict later location of the visual word form area (VWFA) in children?



2016

urosci



age 8 fMRI paired with age 5 connectivity

Predictive modeling

- Pair age 5 connectivity with age 8 fMRI
- Learn relationship (model) in one set of subjects
- Apply model to new subject's age 5 connectivity to predict their age 8 wordselectivity



Actual reading area



We can use a brain scan at an early age to predict where in the brain of each individual child a reading area will later show up.

> Saygin et al. 2016 Nature Neurosci



Is this connectivity pattern innate?





Jin Li

Is this connectivity pattern innate?









Li et al. Scientific Reports 2020

Predictions of dyslexia



Certain circuitry is different in older children & adults with dyslexia



Cause or consequence of dyslexia?

Predictions of dyslexia





Connectivity drives & predicts development of new skills Domain-specific cortex develops early

- Language
 - develops early
 - specific for domain of language not other thought



Connectivity drives & predicts development of new skills Domain-specific cortex develops early

- Language
 - develops early
 - specific for domain of language not other thought
- Learned skills like reading
 - Innate language-visual connectivity reserves the location of the reading area
- Atypical development
 - Language-visual connectivity predicts dyslexia

Connectivity as a neural marker for typical & atypical development



Connectivity drives & predicts development of new skills Domain-specific cortex develops early

- Language
 - develops early
 - specific for domain of language not other thought
- Learned skills like reading
 - Innate language-visual connectivity reserves the location of the reading area
- Atypical development
 - Language-visual connectivity predicts dyslexia (neural marker)

Experience and maturation shape connectivity and neural organization Domain-general cortex develops later

- Executive function
 - supported by distinct, domaingeneral cortex
 - prolonged development
 - most variable and least mature network at birth



Molloy & Saygin NeuroImage 2022

Current & future directions

Predict future skill-learning (reading, math, executive control, academic readiness)

• Early neural markers of later developing skills & individual variability therein



Current & future directions

Predict future behavior with early neural markers



Characterize pre-verbal & pre-literate brain structure & function

 By knowing what these regions 'like', we can develop curriculums to bolster their development



Current & future directions

Predict future behavior with early neural markers



Characterize pre-verbal & preliterate brain structure & function



Plasticity due to intervention or injury

- How does pre-school intervention strengthen connectivity & selectivity of these regions?
- How does pediatric neurotrauma impact typical development? Targeted treatments?





Thanks!

Analyses were completed using the Ohio Supercomputer

This research was partly funded by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, Ohio State University's College of Arts and Sciences, OSU's Chronic Brain Injury Program

Special thank you to the children and their families who participated in this research

